

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,227	10/29/2001	Dou Yuanzhu	9281-4226	8594
7	590 01/15/2003			
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610			LEE, BENNY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EX	EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				5	

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

☑ Thi	s application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 2904 7001	This action is made final.
A shorte Failure 1	ened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire Three month(s), days from the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133	m the date of this letter.
Part I	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
1. 2 3. 2 5. [Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474	ent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Application, PTO-152.
Part II	SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. 🏻	Claims	are pending in the application.
/	Of the above, claims are	withdrawn from consideration.
2. 🔲	Claims	have been cancelled.
3. 🗆	Claims	_are allowed.
4.0	Claims	_ are rejected.
	Claims	
6. 🗌	Claims are subject to restriction	n or election requirement.
7.	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examinating the control of the control o	nation purposes.
8. 🔲	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
9. 🗆	The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C. are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, P1	.F.R. 1.84 these drawings (O-948).
10.	The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been examiner; \(\square\) disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	approved by the
11.	The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been □ approved; □ disapproved	(see explanation).
12. 🗹	Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been replaced been filled in parent application, serial no; filled on	eceived not been received
13. 🗌	Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is closed in
14. 🔲	Other	

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 2/93)

SN 38227

Art Unit: 2817

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 1, 7th line from the bottom, note that --described-- should precede "above" for clarity of description. Page 2, line 6, note that--ope-- should follow "each" for clarity; line 17, note that "impossibility to reduce" should be rephrased for clarity; line 19, note that "fitting work" is vague in meaning and should be rephrased; line 23, note that "intervene between" should be rephrased for clarity. Page 3. lines 1, 2, note that "a cost boosting factor" should be rephrased for clarity; line 5, note that "attempted in view" should be rephrased for clarity; line 8, note that "the assembling work" should be rephrased as --assembly--. Pages 4-6, note that --view-- should follow appropriate occurrences of "plan" and "section", respectively throughout the brief description of the drawings and the detail description of the invention. Page, 6th line from the bottom, note that "and out of the ..." should be rephrased for clarity.

Page 8, line 20 to page 9, line 2. Note that the reference numbers described therein need to be specifically reference the particular ones of Figs. 7, 8, 9 in which they actually appear. Page 9, lines 14-25, should reference to Fig. "Zc" correctly refer to --2b--?.

Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to because of the following: In figs. 17, 7/8, 9/10, note that reference labels (1a, 1b) need to be provided. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2817

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3, it is unclear whether both of "the two pin members have an L shape" is a proper characterization. Moreover, it is unclear whether "a ground pattern overlying the circuit substrate" is a proper characterization (i.e. as opposed to --underlying-- the circuit substrate).

The following claims have been found objectionable for reasons set forth below"

In claim 1, note that --respectively--should precede "positioned" and --corresponding--should precede "waveguides" for a proper characterization.

In claim 4, should --respective--precede short caps" and --corresponding-- precede "reflective faces" for a proper characterization?

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35

Application/Control Number: 10/038,227 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Yoshida et al.

Yoshida discloses a waveguide convertor comprising a casing (20) having two waveguides (21, 22) as therein as best depicted in fig. 4 for receiving polarized signals. A circuit board (25), shown in Fig. 6, discloses orthogonal probes (L1, L2) characterized by pins or conductive patterns supported by the circuit board and protruding into each waveguide such that orthogonally polarized signals can be received by the pins or conductive patterns. Again referring to Fig. 5, casing (20) functions to effect a back short for the probes.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Miyazaki et al discloses plural probes for coupling to a waveguide.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Benny Lee at telephone

number (703) 308-4902.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

KIMAKY EXAMINE! ADT HAIT 2017

ART UNIT 2817

B LEE/pj

12/26/02